13 responses

Summary

SPO Update on the Uniform Guidance – 2 CFR 200

1. Did you find this discussion topic of interest to you?

![Bar chart showing responses]

- Strongly Disagree: 1  0  0%
- 2  0  0%
- 3  0  0%
- 4  3  23.1%
- Strongly Agree: 5  10  76.9%

2. Will you use the information presented in your daily work?

![Bar chart showing responses]

- Strongly Disagree: 1  0  0%
3. What did you like most about this Roundtable?

Pam Miller's presentation and knowledge about Uniform Guidance.
The quiz at beginning and answers at end. Also, having the C&G Officers present along with Pam Miller.
It was very nice to see/meet SPO people. I liked the true/false questions at the beginning and then again at the end.
SPO CGO's participation in the presentation; interactive quiz and current update on OP and Berkeley guidance.
It updates our knowledge to the latest and see other prospective in certain subjects.
That the CGOs that we work with were present
Having latest updates and interpretation from SPO colleagues in a live discussion rather than e-mails or web links. Hearing about UCOP application of UG to UCB
Early Q&A that was repeated afterwards. Also, the background info on how UCOP and other campuses are handling UG.
Presentations
Clear and brief
Update about policy and procedure changes, and questions during the meeting

4. What could have improved this Roundtable?

A meet and greet with refreshments beforehand.
There were a lot of topics covered - I would have preferred 2 shorter presentations or a break in the middle.
Ask the RAs to submit questions on UG in advance and address their questions.
It was appropriate and informative and covered all the important points. So nothing to improve.
Perhaps handouts would have made it easier to follow
Less reading from slides, and more talking about the slide content.
Handouts
The diction of some presenters could be more clear and polished: apparent language barrier

5. What questions did you have about Uniform Guidance that were not addressed?

More discussion on the reduction of 25% of PI efforts and its impact.
None.
N/A
How to handle awards with expired Terms in Conditions for certain agencies. What is the impact on FDP?

Impacts on Purchasing and participant support. (I'm a Business Analyst, not an RA)

none so far

How will this impact effort reporting?

Sponsor's reasons for policy changes such as OH rate on NSF’s participant support cost from past to current.

6. **What topics would you like presented at future Roundtables?**

PI portfolio and projection tool.

May be: Funding Agencies updates!!

n/a

Cost share tracking and faculty time tracking from EFA and SPO point of view. Faculty or academic appointments from HR (heaven points, Research appt., combo appt refresher).

Visiting scholars funding and Revenue funds from Financial team. Handling Center awards - what are the challenges (RAs development). New award tracking and invoice tracking tools in Grant management module from CGA IT. PI Portfolio and Cal Answers as new financial tools.

Indirect costs negotiations.

Fabrication tracking for a full-cycle process. Present in conjunction with relevant depts. on campus, such as procurement (for Bearbuy input/tracking) and Equipment Management (for back-end tracking of equipment, and their practices to follow).

A better way to track costshare

updates from UCOP’s UG Working Group

**Number of daily responses**

![Line graph showing an increasing trend from 0 to 16 daily responses.](image-url)